Crowe & Dunlevy

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
1800 MID-AMERICA TOWER
20 NORTH BROADWAY
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73102-8273

(405) 235-7700 FAX (405) 239-6651 www.crowedunlevy.com AF/6P-2754 \$

NORMAN

THE HIPOINT OFFICE BUILDING 2500 SOUTH McGEE, SUITE 140 NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73072-6705 (405) 321-7317 FAX (405) 360-4002



July 12, 1999

RECEIVED
JUL 1 6 1999
Group 2700

Box AF Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

TULSA 500 KENNEDY BUILDING

Re: In re Application of:

Stephen R. Genheimer, Kenneth L. Pottebaum, Jon P.

Baker and John D. Stricklin

Application No.:

09/114,956

Filed:

July 13, 1998

For:

ACTUATOR ASSEMBLY MOUNTED DISC SNUBBER

Group No.:

2754

Examiner:

W. Klimowicz

Transmittal Letter for Notice of Appeal After Final Rejection

Sir:

Enclosed for filing in the above identified application are the following:

- 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 CFR § 1.191). (duplicate)
- 2. A return postcard

A check in the amount of \$300.00 is provided herewith, to cover the cost of the Notice of Appeal. If additional fees are required, please charge Account No. 13-0110. If a refund is due, please credit Account No. 13-0110.

Box AF Assistant Commissioner for Patents July 12, 1999 Page 2

The above identified materials are being mailed July 12, 1999 by Express Mail, Receipt No. EJ763356764US.

Should anything further be required, please advise the below signed attorney.

Your very truly,

Randall K. McCarthy

Registration No. 39,297

RKM/Ilh Enclosures EXPRESS MAIL RECEIPT NO.: EJ763356764U DEPOSITED ON JULY 12, 1999



PATENT DKT 22306

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Stephen R. Genheimer,	, Kenneth L.	. Pottebaum,	Jon P.	Baker a	and	ł
	John D. Stricklin				1		١,

Serial No.: 09/114,956

Group No.: 2754

Filed:

July 13, 1998

Examiner:

W. Klimowicz

Date:

July 12, 1999

For: ACTUATOR ASSEMBLY MOUNTED DISC SNUBBER

RECFIVED

BOX AF

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

JUL 1 6 1999

Group 2700

,>

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. § 1.191)

Applicants hereby appeal to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed June 21, 1999, finally rejecting claims 18-24.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

- ☑ other than a small entity.
- \Box a small entity.

A verified statement

□ is attached.

::ODMA\PCDOCS\CROWE_HQ\643956\1

-1-

07/15/1999 CVORACHA 00000148 09114956

01 FC:119

300.00 OP

		□ v	was already filed on	·	
2.	FEE F(FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL			
	Pursuant	to 37 CFR 1.1	7(e), the fee for filing the N	Votice of Appeal is:	
] small en	tity	\$150.00	
	×	other tha	an a small entity	\$300.00	
		1	Notice of Appeal fee due	<u>\$300.00</u>	
3.	EXTENSION OF TERM				
	NOTE: The time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 CFR 1.191(d). (But see 37 CFR 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).				
	(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)				
apply.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 (fees: 37 CFR 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:				
	Exter (mor		Fee for other than small entity	Fee small	
	one mo	onth	\$110.00	\$55	.00
	two mo	ontha.	\$380.00	\$190	0.00

Fee	\$

\$435.00

\$680.00

\$870.00

\$1,360.00

two months

three months

four months

		(check and complete the next item, if applicable)
		An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of s deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
		Extension fee due with this request _\$
		or
	(b)	Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee fo extension of time.
4.	ТОТ	TAL FEE DUE
	The to	otal fee due is:
		Notice of Appeal fee <u>\$ 300.00</u>
		Extension fee (if any) \$
		Petition fee \$
		TOTAL FEE DUE <u>\$ 300.00</u>
5.	FEE	PAYMENT
	⊠	Attached is a check in the sum of \$300.00 to cover the required Notice of Appeal fee.
		Charge Account No the sum of A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

6. FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.

If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 13-0110

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. <u>13-0110</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY Randall K. McCarthy

(type or print name of attorney)

Crowe & Dunlevy

1800 Mid-America Tower

20 N. Broadway

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-8273

Telephone No.: **(405) 235-7700** Facsimile No.: **(405) 239-6651**

Reg. No.: 39,297

P.O. Address